

Moderator: Frank [thorgal67]
Minute keeper: Johannes [wind]

SATURDAY

Present in Firenze: Leonardo, Uli, Anja, David, Frank, Johannes, Tin [lealone, polyglot, duesseldorf, mountx, thorgal67, wind, nhtin]

Peopole online: Lukas, Nina, Sammie, Claudia, Manuel, Michael, Herbert [amnesiac84, lososs, sammiekong, claudiaab, crumbking, subaculture, Frauenschuh]

09:30 - 10:35 - Financial Report https://www.bevolunteer.org/financialreport_2018-2019-final/ + Budget https://www.bevolunteer.org/budget_2019-2020-final/

10:35 - 11:26 - Annual Report https://www.bevolunteer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/BV_Annual_Report_2018-19_final.pdf

11:26 - 11:45 - Coffee break

11:45 - 12:36 - Decisions on Development https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20118-GA_2019_Topic_7_Decisions_on_development

13:00 - 14:06 - Lunch break

14:06 - 14:33 - Hosting status proposal 1 (polyglot)
https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20111-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_HES_proposal_1

14:33 - 15:00 - Hosting status proposal 2 (lealone)
https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20119-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_HES_proposal_2

15:00 - 15:30 - Hosting status proposal 3 (wind)
https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20120-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_proposal_3

15:30 - 16:00 - Conclusions hosting status https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20103-GA_2019_pre_discussion_Indicating_how_eager_you_are_to_host/ (link to public discussion)

16:00 - 16:15 - Coffee break

16:15 - 17:53 - BW Search function https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20102-GA_2019_pre_discussion_Searching_for_a_host_and_https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20043-Order_of_default_host_search_results

17:53 - 18:22 - Status Quo (statistics) https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20104-GA_2019_Topic_2_Status_Quo_of_BW

18:22 - 18:48 - Brainstorming on how to increase activity (informal)
https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20105-GA_2019_pre_discussion_Brainstorming_on_how_to_increase_activity_on_BW/

https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20105-GA_2019_pre_discussion_Brainstorming_on_how_to_increase_activity_on_BW/

SUNDAY

Present in Firenze: thorgal67, lealone, polyglot, wind, duesseldorf, mountx, nhtin

People online: amnesiac84, sammiekong, lososs, claudiaab, Frauenschuh (from 11:00 on)

09:55 - 10:15 - Hosting status proposal : additional discussion

https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20119-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_HES_proposal_2

10:15 - 11:05 - Ratification of the ToU & PP https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20113-GA_2019_Topic_1_Ratification_of_ToU_PP

11:05 - 11:27 - Coffee break

11:27 - 11:52 - Increasing host responses https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20122-GA2019_related_Increasing_Host_Responses

11:52 - 12:13 - Analytical Tool https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019_related_Analytical_Tool_for_BW

12:13 - 12:30 - Proposals to be voted upon - wording

12:30 - 13:16 - Discussion about the wording for the voting for the new ToU and possible alternative proposals

13:16 - 14:40 - Lunch break

14:40 - 15:53 - Proposals to be voted upon - wording

15:53 - 16:10 - Introduction of & Questions to BoD candidates

16:11 - END of the GA

16:11 - 18:00 - Time for working groups discussions (outside GA)

SATURDAY

09:30 - 9:45 - Financial Report https://www.bevolunteer.org/financialreport_2018-2019-final/

- bigger part of income by bank account and not by Paypal (opposed to previous years)
- server expenses, now directly paid by us with our Paypal account and not from the bank account of a German BV member (currently 108,06 Euro per month for servers)
- promotional material: we sponsored T-shirts on the BW event Seek the Duck in Toulouse, include the design from Toulouse in the Spreadshirt shop (thorgal67 will contact subaculture and ffred)
- 806,75 Euro gain in the last financial year
- 9185,22 Euro balance on 30.09.2019

9:45 - 10:35 - Budget https://www.bevolunteer.org/budget_2019-2020-final/

- budget is always is always from 01/10 - 30/09
- our domains are registered with OVH, we don't know how much exactly it costs to renew
- we put 300 Euro in the budget to renew all the domains (.org/.com/bevolunteer.org) as long as possible
- promotional cost instead promotional material (because cost is more flexibel and not only material)
- support to events (we would like to send someone to FOSDEM)
- thorgal67 contacts subaculture because of FOSDEM
- 500 Euro as support to events
- administrative costs make it 375 Euro (to cover eventual costs of next GA)

- the link to donations is on the website anyway, so we put a small donation goal to still make it visible that donations are possible, but on the other side we do not expect so much
- 1300 Euro as donation goal (this amount equals the server costs)
- Regarding the budget / financial statement - we have agreed on the meeting 11 (BoD) to include a part about the emergency budget on what we can spend the money). One of the few things we almost unanimously agreed on, but I didn't see it anywhere in the current documents - from meeting 11 approved minutes: As part of the financial budget, we agreed to add that “the emergency fund can be used also to buy services normally supplied by volunteers in case of a temporary unavailability of volunteers” (vote 6:0)
- emergency fund will be raised to 3000 Euro

VOTE about emergency fund:

proposal 2000 Euro: +1 wind +1 leoalone +1 mountx

proposal 3000 Euro: +1 thorgal67 +1 sammiekong +1 claudiaab +1 amnesiac84 +1 lososs +1 polyglot +1 duesseldorf +1 frauenschuh

VOTE about donation goal:

putting a donation goal of 1,300 (this amount equals our server costs): +1 mountx +1 amnesiac84 +1 claudiaab +1 lososs +1 sammiekong+1 duesseldorf

putting donation goal of 600 Euro: +1 wind

10:35 - 11:26 - Annual Report https://www.bevolunteer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/BV_Annual_Report_2018-19_final.pdf

- BoD report: biggest milestone was the new website
- updated FAQ's are needed
- malware attack, emergency plan in case of similar events in the future (maybe rework the old one)
- some statistics according activity of members, is there an exact amount of BW members on 30th of September 2019?
- 122.906 as of end of October
- Last Login before October 1st: 120.223 (~2.700 in last month = 2.2%)
- done, and included a note about the stats page not updating the numbers.
- extra explanation according the statistics? =>this is about saying that we have fewer members logging in because they don't log in for various reasons -- lososs finds this unnecessary to specify. The numbers reported are numbers obtained from the stats.
- the BoD will contact those BV members, who have not voted in the last 2 GA's and who have not actively declared their suspension, a list will be prepared for the next GA with the proposal to remove these BV members
- Communication Team: Spreadshirt did not create any income

- page 6, just before Twitter, turn the word video into slideshow (task for lososs) - changed to "video slideshow"
- Safety Team: the spam checkers team made a wish list, that was not submitted for the annual report
- Do we have the number of removed spam profiles? Workload is less than last year, improved with the new website
- Technical Team:
- 24th of April, new website went live
- Forum moderators: activity spam? Who should do it? Ideally spam checkers and not moderators?
- Decline in translations
- NMBW team, Fhina takes care of this, almost no replies by new members
- suggestion by mountx: Maybe we should especially put questions in the greeting, like for example "How did you know about BeWelcome?"
- maybe it would be better to keep contact with existing members instead of spending so much time on personal messages to new members
- maybe send automated welcoming message in time, not some months of delay like with personal message, members of NMBWT could help with support and replies to all members, new and old ones

11:45 - 12:36 - Decision on Development https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20118-GA_2019_Topic_7_Decisions_on_development

- reaction on discussions of HES and default search: amnesiac84 realized there are lots of different opinions and there is no clear goal for the dev team
- no good atmosphere for developers, not clear what the goal is and the expectation to have things immediately done
- different opinions and wishes in BeVolunteer and also from different teams
- How decisions are made?
- keep an up-dated list of tasks for the dev team, the BoD should prioritize the task
- BoD is responsible for the strategy, also according development
- BoD has final saying on priorities, it's the only place where votes can happen in between GA's
- transparency is important, so the BoD can explain why something is on top
- How to get new developers?
- lealone propose some "black box model"

- more documentation for developers and sysadmins is needed, so that new persons could step in (even without the help of shevek and leoalone)

14:06 - 14:33 - Hosting status proposal 1 (polyglot)

https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20111-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_HES_proposal_1

- replace YES and MAYBE with something less confusing, combined with HES
- those people who want to host can declare hosting eagerness on a scale of 1-10
- yes would convert into 8, maybe would convert into 4, later people can adapt their eagerness
- displaying the date, when the hosting status was last updated, when people do not update it, would be the date of introduction of HI
- no automatic decrease over time
- the factors used in the default search would get a weight in the sorting results (same as today, with HI taking place of YES/MAYBE/NO, without the possibility of a member to influence the algorithm)
- HI could replace the current YES/MAYBE in default search sorting (see above), or it could be implemented with a weighted system which gives other parameters more "say" in the default search results

14:33 - 15:00 - Hosting status proposal 2 (leoalone) :

https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20119-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_HES_proposal_2

- it's possible to change the standard level of hosting eagerness (0-10) and that stays permanently
- additionally it's possible to get a boost for a certain period of time, the boost puts people on top, even above 9 or 10
- the boost is only for certain time, people with low reply rate can have boost only for short period
- Include both features in sorting algorithm, and tweak sorting algorithm if you want to (default would be to use HI for search and HES for last update of sort)

15:00 - 15:12 - Hosting status proposal 3 (wind)

https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20120-GA_2019_Topic_6_Hosting_Status_proposal_3

- yes, maybe and no is more natural for normal users than numbers between 0-10
- there will be no hosting eagerness
- there will be still yes, maybe and no
- but yes and maybe will make no difference in default search
- so people with maybe can also appear on top of default search

- default search will be calculated on reply rate, last login, profile picture (as indicator for complete profile) and replies within X days

15:12 - 15:55 - Conclusions hosting status https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20103-GA_2019_pre_discussion_Indicating_how_eager_you_are_to_host/ (link to public discussion)

- sammiekong: we could say "is offering to host" instead of yes and maybe
- thorgal67: the maybe has to go, that is clear
- thorgal67: lealone's proposal will be hard to explain to users
- lealone: it's only a matter of explaining it properly to users
- thorgal67: how to organize the votes?

polyglot's proposal about voting:

1. vote on get rid of maybe?
2. should hosting status be used as top priority in default search?
3. do we replace yes, maybe and no by a scale of numbers
4. is there an extra boost, additionally to the scale?
5. how should default search be ordered? (we would need several votes, do we include reply rate and so on) or several boxes and the option to click as many as wanted

remark: if we don't get majority, the vote from last year will be still valid

Vote of last year (GA 2018):

The purpose of the HES-tool ("Hosting Eagerness Slider") is to better match guests with hosts who are actually willing to host.

It lets hosts indicate on a scale (e.g. from 0 to 10) how eager (or enthusiastic) they are to host.

According to individual settings, hosts can be ranked higher or lower in the search results for a limited time, and the "eagerness" can also be displayed on the profile.

In order to keep it up-to-date, after a defined period of time (e.g. 60 days) the setting reverts to a neutral default (e.g. "5") and no longer influences the ranking in the search results.

The default duration can be reduced by the host to whatever duration is appropriate.

16:15 - 17:30 - BW Search function https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20102-GA_2019_pre_discussion_Searching_for_a_host and https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20043-Order_of_default_host_search_results

- host search should display all members (not only the active ones)
- question on how to sort the default search
- question on what parameter is in the default search ---> decision needed, vote?
- advanced search options should be displayed alongside with the default search, so it's possible to click boxes right away ---> vote

- question if the default search is based only on one or 2 factors (like e.g. last login)
- or if the default search has several factors (last login, profile pic, reply rate, hosting status)
- polyglot would put only some features from advanced search in the new default search (ordering, offer guided tour, offer dinner)
- lososs would have on default search: town (location), order by, offer to meet (check box), offer to host (check box); everything else (last login, number of travelers, keywords, gender, wheelchair accessible, hosting eagerness of more than XX, include members in radius of XX km, age, etc) on advanced page
- thorgal67: default would be only the place and nothing more
- when displaying the list of members it would give some options for ordering on top of the list
- There was general agreement that the search page could be improved, with more user-defined options, but various views about how this could be achieved. Needs more discussion with developers/BV/BW
- thorgal67 suggests that all members present at the GA should list which parameters they want in which order to be reflected in the default search results
- sammiekong: 1. profile completeness 2. number of references 3. last login 4. hosting status 5. reply rate (if applicable)
- claudiaab: 1. hosting status 2. last login 3. reply rate (if available)
- lososs: 1. last login 2. profile completeness (has picture yes/no, has summary) 3. hosting status 4. distance (from the search location) [displayed in search results but not necessarily to order the sort: 5. reply rate (once we have it) 6. number of comments 7. Member since]
- thorgal67: 1. hosting status 2. last login 3. profile completeness 4. number of comments
- wind: 1. last login 2. profile picture 3. reply rate 4. hosting status
- Frauenschuh 1. hosting status 2. profile completeness 3. if available reply rate 4. member since
- lealone: 1. HES[full] 2. last{status|HI}update 3. combined_profile_completeness (includes setting personalized location, picture, filled fields) 4. HI
- mountx: 1. last login 2. possibly profile completeness
- polyglot: 1. hosting interest 2. has summary 3. has profile picture 4. last login 5. has hosting comments
- amnesiac84: 1. hosting interest (HI / HES) 2. (hosting status if yes/maybe are continued) 3. has summary 4. has picture 5. last login

17:53 - 18:22 - Status Quo (statistics) https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20104-GA_2019_Topic_2_Status_Quo_of_BW

- some ideas: we can look at number of newsletters/communication posts (available in annual report) vs % of members logging in?
- we are not sure if some of the messages end up in spam
- we have not send out a message saying we are putting a member inactive after 1 year not logging in
- we should check with the developers why certain profiles have not logged in for 2 years but still appear "active"
- We have very low response rate, that is also concerning

- Should we remove old profiles that haven't had any activity since creating a profile? --> leo's suggestion of plotting member_since vs last_login
- We have brainstorming to increase activity , and can compare the results?
- Can we make a way/access more stats or is shevek happy to provide them? Can we have at least one more person available to get the stats?
- Support team takes care of memberships (cleanups). Suggestion to have another round soon
- 2007: average age of new members: 30years
- later, after 2007: between 32 and 35 years
- average age of all 'active' people on BW, 39 years
- 6000 persons have been active since January 2019

18:22 - 18:48 - Brainstorming on how to increase activity (informal)

<https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20105->

[GA 2019 pre discussion Brainstorming on how to increase activity on BW/](#)

- nhtin: people should get points, when they are active, when they host someone
- similar proposal by amnesiac84: earning chocolate medals
- duesseldorf: sending presents to members with lots of points
- sammiekong: the user can use his points to make BW donate a certain amount of money to an association doing something for example ecology
- leoalone: find a niche for BW, privacy minded? families?
- lososs: display 'stickers' / 'badges' / 'achievements' on profiles; you unlock those.. Like 'first hosting', first comment, 100 forum posts, 100% reply rate :p , event organizer-- kind of like comments section - you display a preview, but there is a big list.
- 'family', 'business traveller', 'young adult', 'retired' (as user roles)
- we could maybe try to retrieve those user roles (similar to what Leo described) and make separate video slide shows for them to show in what way they can benefit from BW
- also from the brainstorming thread: geo-referencing groups- this would automatically display geographical groups near you, also to temporarily and instantly (without waiting for approval) join a group of a town where you are traveling

SUNDAY

09:55 - 10:15 - Hosting status proposal - additional discussion

<https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20119->

[GA 2019 Topic 6 Hosting Status HES proposal 2](#)

Polyglot: Following yesterday's discussion on Topic 6 the two proposals 1 (polyglot) and 2 (leoalone) have converged (replacement of "MAYBE" by a scale). But there is an additional proposal (6.1) with an additional vote for an optional feature which allows you to set a higher or lower setting, or to switch to NO, **temporarily**, e.g. for when you are more available or when you travel yourself. That setting would then revert to the previous setting at the end of the period (if we can convince Leo that it should not decrease automatically during that period)

10:15 - 11:05 - Ratification of the ToU & PP

https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20113-GA_2019_Topic_1_Ratification_of_ToU_PP

New Terms of Use:

- litigation
- French or English as main language?
- legal action would take place in France, documents would need to be translated to French
- section 7 in the old ToU: notification to the member in case of suspension, cancelation, limitation
- was completely removed in the proposal for new ToU
- so there is no duty to inform a removed spam account

Should we vote on on the BoD proposal for the ToU?

Should we vote on both, the BoD proposal and Leo's ~~amendment~~ proposal (it should be a complete ToU) +1sammiekong+1polyglot +1 lososs

GDPR policies:

- were agreed by the BoD and available to BV group

Privacy Policy:

- were agreed by the BoD and available to BV group

11:27 - 11:52 - Increasing host responses https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20122-GA2019_related_Increasing_Host_Responses

- mainly about introducing reply rate (only for hosting requests)
- reply rate is not necessarily part of the default search
- but the reply rate should be displayed on the profile
- other option: to display it in search results, but not have the reply rate influence the sorting
- leoalone propose to give 100% rate to people that never got a request
- mountx propose: "this person did not get any request"
- polyglot: does the person replies with a reasonable time --> the person replies with in x days (reply time)

Vote:

a) should the GA mandate the BoD to put "the introduction of a reply rate to hosting requests and display it on the profile" on the developers' priority list +1 sammiekong+1 mountx +1 thorgal67 +1 lososs +1frauenschuh +1 claudiaab +1 wind +1 duesseldorf

b) should the GA vote on "the introduction of a reply rate to hosting requests and display it on the profile" on the developers' priority list

11:52 - 12:13 - Analytical Tool https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019_related_Analytical_Tool_for_BW

- In GA 2016 we voted for Google Adwords, but against Google Analytics
- Right now we can not use Google Adwords because we don't have proper analytical tool
- Also generally we do not have enough statistics
- Frauenschuh: very powerful tool, but we would maybe (?) need to change GDPR and PP. Definitely add something to PP. Have to review/add something to PP whatever tool we end up using.
- <https://matomo.org/pricing/> (another option, but it's not for free) --> 19USD per month minimum, maybe even 29 USD
- 2 votes, 1st about analytical tool, 2nd about Google Analytics

12:13 - 12:30 - Proposals to be voted upon - wording

- the wording for polls 1-4 was finished

12:30 - 13:16 - Discussion about the wording for the voting for the new ToU and possible alternative proposals

- doubts how the wording should be for the alternative proposal from leoalone (poll 5)

===== old section 7 =====

7. Handling of violations

In the handling of violations of these terms, a difference is made between valid and invalid accounts:

1. **Invalid accounts:** Accounts with incomplete mandatory data, invalid e-mail address, not fulfilling the minimum requirements for membership, or solely created for the purpose of spam or abuse. These accounts will be deleted without warning and notification.
2. **Valid accounts:** All other accounts. In cases of violations which affect or may affect the safety of the members, accounts may be blocked or deleted without warning. A notification will be issued to the affected member including information how to appeal this decision. In all other cases, members will receive a warning and request to comply with these terms. In cases of repeated violations, members may be temporarily or permanently blocked from participating in selected areas of the website, or membership may be cancelled completely. A notification will be issued to the account registrant including information on how to appeal this decision.

Poll 4: Do you approve the new ToU document as approved by the BoD? (options : YES, NO, ABSTAIN)

Please find the new ToU document HERE [link : <https://www.bevolunteer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/ToU2019-v1.2-BoD-Approved.pdf>]

The discussion can be found HERE [link :

Poll 5: Addition to the new ToU

Do you want to add the following line to §6 that reads : "When a valid address is known, the registrant of the account will receive immediately, in case of a suspension or cancellation, a notification including the possible remedies."

Remark: This poll is only valid if poll #4 is approved by the GA

We want to make it clear that if this sentence is included in the approved ToU, it prevents BW from deleting suspected spammers without a notification without violating our own terms of use.

In order to make a good decision, please check the discussions on the BV Forum HERE [Link : <https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s19465-Terms of Use Section 7>] and HERE [<https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s19460-New ToU your opinion wanted>]

Poll 6: Do you approve the new Data Rights on BeWelcome?

Please find the discussion on the BV forum HERE :

[Link : <https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20113-GA 2019 Topic 1 Ratification of ToU PP>]

You can find the document HERE : [LINK : <https://www.bevolunteer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/GA2019-GDPR-Rights-v1.0-BoD-approved.pdf>]

Poll 7: Do you approve the new PP document?

Please find the discussion on the BV forum HERE : [Link:

<https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20113-GA 2019 Topic 1 Ratification of ToU PP>]

~~**Poll 8** Do you want to replace YES/MAYBE with 1-10 scale , whereas NO would be separate (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)~~

~~My wording as the author of the proposal:~~

~~"Do you want to replace the YES/MAYBE hosting settings with YES and a scale from 1 to 10 to indicate hosting interest ? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)~~

~~Existing profiles would be automatically converted from MAYBE to YES with 4 on the scale, and YES set to 8, with a message to members inviting them to adjust the setting if they want. Hosts can opt to display their Hosting Interest setting on their profile and it would be shown when the setting was last updated" (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)~~

~~[Link: <https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20111-GA 2019 Topic 6 Hosting Status HES proposal 1>]~~

Poll 9: Should BW offer an additional feature that allows for a temporary modification of hosting settings which reverts automatically to the previous setting at the end of the period chosen for the modification (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Further information:

*According to individual settings, hosts can be ranked higher or lower in the search results **for a limited time**, and the "eagerness" can also be displayed on the profile. In order to keep it up-to-date, **after a defined period of time** (e.g. 60 days) **the setting reverts to** the setting originally set by user as the main hosting interest. The default duration can be reduced by the host to whatever duration is appropriate.*

The feature allow also to allow people on NO to be on top, as yes for a limited period of time [for exaple they are on vacation] or to go on no in case they are busy or traveling away, and go back to original staus at end of period (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN).

[Link: <https://www.bewelcome.org/forums/s20119/#post100159>]

Poll 10: Do you want to keep the hosting status/ eagerness as the main factor in ranking/sorting of the default search results? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN) +1-1+1+1-1

note: i would add in explanation that the sorting etc would be discussed by the bod --- we had that line somewhere, but I am having trouble finding it +1, some explanation would be good

Alternative wording: Should either hosting interest or other factors (e.g.last login - to be decided) be the main factor in ranking/sorting of the default search results? (options: Hosting interest, other factors, abstain)+1 wind-1

Should we have a vote about the position of hosting status?

YES: wind, lososs

NO: polyglotmountx lealalonefrauenschuh thorgal67uesseldorf sammiekongamnesiac84

[Link: <https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20120-GA-2019-Topic-6-Hosting-Status-proposal-3>]

Poll 10: Can we use GDPR-compliant Google Analytics? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Some information can be found here : <https://www.cookiebot.com/en/google-analytics-gdpr/>

LINK: [https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019-related Analytical Tool for BW](https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019-related-Analytical-Tool-for-BW)

Overview on web analytics tools on Wikipedia HERE :

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_web_analytics_software

Poll 11: Can we use GDPR-compliant web analytical tools other than Google Analytics? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Please find the discussion on the BV Forum HERE [link:

[https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019-related Analytical Tool for BW](https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019-related-Analytical-Tool-for-BW)]

Overview over web analytics tools on Wikipedia HERE :

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_web_analytics_software

Some information can be found here : <https://www.cookiebot.com/en/google-analytics-gdpr/>

LINK: [https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019-related Analytical Tool for BW](https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s20134-GA2019-related-Analytical-Tool-for-BW)

Poll 12: Do you elect lososs to the BoD? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Poll 13: Do you elect mountx to the BoD? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Poll 14: Do you elect sammiekong to the BoD? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Poll 15: Do you elect thorgal67 to the BoD? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Poll 16: Do you elect wind to the BoD? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN)

Poll 17: Do you elect pietshah as ombudsman? (options: YES, NO , ABSTAIN) YES YES -- yes YES Yesyes yes-1 i prefer poll (wind)YES

Poll: Ombudsperson? => no vote necessary, only if is a different person IMHO (that is how we did it last time) i would vote it still, it's nice to officially confirm ombudsperson, as he is not in statutes is not regulated anyway. OK

putting links in the votes to annual, financial reports, but also to the discussions, e.g. in case of analytical tool, especially interesting what links do we provide in case of votes about 1-10 scale [maybe here the link to uli's proposal], about temporary boost [link to leo's proposal] and about hosting interest as main factor in sorting of default search [link to wind's proposal]

in case of Poll4: https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s19465-Terms_of_Use_Section_7
and possibly https://www.bewelcome.org/group/94/forum/s19460-New_ToU_your_opinion_wanted

15:53 - 16:10 - Introduction of & Questions to BoD candidates

@wind :

"Wer Arbeit kennt und sich nicht drückt
der ist verrückt!"

Do you agree ?

Just kidding

I agree, is crazy running for BoD. We are all crazy volunteering.

16:10 - 18:00 - Time for working groups discussions (outside GA)